

# United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/626,312	07/26/2000	Franck Bausela	A-2456	7920	
75	90 02/05/2003				
Lerner and Greenberg PA			EXAMINER		
Post Office Box Hollywood, FL			FLORES SANG	CHEZ, OMAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	/	Applicant(s)	CN				
	09/626,312	E	BAUSELA ET AL.	7"				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1	Art Unit					
	Omar Flores-Sá	nchez 3	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 November 2002</u> .								
	This action is non-fi	nal.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for fo	rmal matters, pros	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election require	ment.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pa Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/626,312

Art Unit: 3724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to applicant's amendment received on 11/27/02.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Claim 8 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected embodiment, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 9 and 11.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-7, 9-16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Behmel et al. (foreign patent no. DE 4327466 A1).

Behmel discloses (Fig. 1-21) the invention including perforating cylinders 5-6, perforating tools 16, perforating strips 18, perforating bars 19, a cylinder shaft 58, 80, mounting supports 91-92, transmission elements (see Fig. 4, 10, 12, 16, 20), coulisse or slotted guide (see Fig. 20), transmission point/toothings 38-46, 54-55, a compensating device and mounting supports (see Fig. 4, 14 and 16).

ì

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. 5. Applicant argues that Behmel et al. do not show "said at least one perforating bar being adjustable relative to a periphery of said perforating cylinders, at least one of said perforating cylinders adjustable in relation to said perforating nip relative to at least another of said perforating cylinders". The examiner considers that Behmel et al. teach said at least one perforating bar being adjustable relative to a periphery of said perforating cylinders, at least one of said perforating cylinders adjustable in relation to said perforating nip (see Fig. 17) relative to at least another of said perforating cylinders". Also, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

#### Conclusion

- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/626,312

Art Unit: 3724

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:00) First Friday

Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (703) 308-1082. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302

for regular communications and (703) 872-9302 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1148.

ofs

February 3, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4